

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT .....	iii
DEDICATION .....	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	viii
LIST OF TABLES .....	xii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xvi
INTRODUCTION.....	1
OBJECTIVES .....	3
LITERATURE REVIEW .....	4
Factors Affecting Evapotranspiration .....	7
Depth to Water Table .....	9
Salinity Effects .....	10
Stand Characteristics .....	11
Diurnal Cycle of the Groundwater Table .....	13
Soils/Specific Yield.....	16
Comparison of Water Use Among Species.....	17
METHODOLOGY .....	18
Location and Description of Study Sites.....	18
Water Level Recorder .....	19
Location and Installation of Wells .....	19

	Page
Vegetation Monitoring .....	23
Soil Characterization and Specific Yield .....	24
Procedures for Removing Logger Error .....	25
Procedures for Estimating Water Use .....	27
Determining Length of Growing Season .....	31
Weather Characteristics.....	33
Statistical Analysis .....	33
Paired Plot Analysis .....	34
DETERMINING THE BEST METHOD FOR CALCULATING WATER USE .....	36
Results and Discussion.....	36
Method 1 .....	40
Method 2 .....	40
Method 3 .....	40
Method 4 – Draw Down Recharge.....	41
Method 5 .....	41
Methods 6 and 7 .....	42
Results and Discussion.....	42
Conclusions .....	46
SOIL AND VEGETATION CHARACTERISTICS .....	47
Results and Discussion.....	47
Canadian Location - Soils .....	47

	Page
Colorado Location - Soils .....	48
Pecos Location Sites A and B - Soils .....	49
Vegetation Characteristics.....	54
Canadian Location.....	54
Colorado Location - Vegetation .....	60
Pecos Location - Vegetation .....	64
Conclusions .....	71
Soils and Specific Yield .....	71
Vegetation .....	71
WATER LEVEL FLUCTUATIONS.....	73
Results and Discussion.....	73
Canadian Location.....	73
Colorado Location.....	79
Pecos Location .....	79
Conclusions .....	80
WATER USE BY SALT CEDAR AND ASSOCIATED VEGETATION.....	82
Results and Discussion.....	82
Canadian Location – Draw Down Recharge Method .....	82
Colorado Location – Draw Down Recharge Method.....	83
Pecos Location – Draw Down Recharge Method .....	85
EPA Paired Plot Technique.....	86

	Page
Potential Evapotranspiration .....	88
Draw Down Recharge Method - With Modified Recharge Rate .....	89
Conclusions .....	89
CONCLUSIONS .....	93
LITERATURE CITED .....	95
APPENDIX A .....	99
APPENDIX B .....	105
VITA .....	116

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1. Estimated annual water use by saltcedar ( <i>Tamarix</i> sp.) in the Southwestern United States.....	5
Table 2. Comparison of ecophysiological attributes of cottonwoods, willows, mesquite, and saltcedar. Adapted from (Smith et al. 1998).....	8
Table 3. Water use by saltcedar decreased as depth to the water table increased. Modified from van Hylckama (1970). ....	9
Table 4. Representative examples of water use from the literature for important species found at the study locations. ....	17
Table 5. Depth of wells from the soil surface and surface elevations at each site. ....	20
Table 6. Formulas evaluated for calculating water use. ....	31
Table 7. Average estimated water use in meters and standard deviation (STD) by each method for the growing season at each study site.....	43
Table 8. Characteristics evaluated in determining the "best" method of calculation from daily diurnal groundwater fluctuations.....	46
Table 9. Soil properties by depth from the soil surface for wells at the Canadian location. ....	48
Table 10. Soil properties by depth from the soil surface for wells at the Colorado location.....	49
Table 11. Soil properties by depth from the soil surface for Wells 1 and 2 at Pecos location Site A. ....	50
Table 12. Soil properties by depth from the soil surface for Well 5 at Pecos River Site A. ....	51
Table 13. Soil properties by depth from the soil surface for Wells 1 and 2 at Pecos River Site B.....	52
Table 14. Soil properties by depth from the soil surface for Wells 3 and 5 at Pecos River Site B.....	53

	Page
Table 15. Ground cover composition (percent) and standard deviation (STD) for well locations at Canadian River Site measured in October 2000 and August 2001.....	56
Table 16. Life form composition (percent) and standard deviation (STD) for well locations at Canadian River Site measured in October 2000 and August 2001. ....	56
Table 17. Woody plant density (per hectare) and standard deviation (STD) for Canadian location. ....	57
Table 18. Woody species and stem basal diameter-Canadian location.....	58
Table 19. Ground cover composition (percent) and standard deviation (STD) for well locations at Colorado location measured in August 2000 and 2001.....	62
Table 20. Life form composition (percent) and standard deviation (STD) for well locations at Colorado River Location measured in August 2000 and 2001. ....	62
Table 21. Woody plant density (per hectare) and standard deviation (STD)-Colorado River Location. ....	63
Table 22. Ground cover composition (percent) and standard deviation (STD) for Site A and B at the Pecos location in the saltcedar zone (well locations 1, 2, and 3 only). ....	67
Table 23. Life form composition (percent) and standard deviation (STD) for Site A and B at Pecos location in the saltcedar zone (well locations 1, 2, and 3 only). ....	67
Table 24. Woody plant density for Site A-Pecos location. Saltcedar stem diameters all > 10 cm, mesquite and four-wing saltbush stem diameters < 5 cm. ....	68
Table 25. Woody plant density for Site B-Pecos location. ....	69
Table 26. Woody plant canopy cover percent for Pecos location Sites A and B.....	69
Table 27. Stem diameters for woody plants Site B-Pecos River location.....	70

	Page
Table 28. Coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) for hourly water level fluctuations at the Canadian Location during the 2001 growing season (4/25/01 - 10/4/01).....	74
Table 29. Coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) for hourly water level fluctuations at the Colorado location during the 2000 growing season (5/1/00-10/5/00).....	79
Table 30. Coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) for hourly water level fluctuations at the Colorado location during the 2001 growing season (4/25/01-10/30/01).....	79
Table 31. Coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) for hourly water level fluctuations at the Pecos location Sites A and B during the 2001 growing season (4/25 - 10/4, 2001).....	80
Table 32. Estimated monthly and daily water use (meters) and standard deviation (STD) Canadian Location 2001.....	82
Table 33. Estimated monthly and daily water use (meters) and standard deviation (STD)-Colorado Location 2000.....	84
Table 34. Estimated monthly and daily water use (meters)-Colorado Location 2001*.....	84
Table 35. Estimated monthly and daily water use (meters) and standard deviation (STD)-Pecos Location Site A 2001.....	85
Table 36. Estimated monthly and daily water use (meters) and standard deviation (STD)-Pecos Location Site B 2001.....	86
Table 37. Ground cover percent composition for Well 2-Canadian location.....	99
Table 38. Ground cover percent composition for Well 3-Canadian location.....	99
Table 39. Ground cover percent composition for Well 4-Canadian location.....	99
Table 40. Nearest neighbor percent composition for Well 2-Canadian location.....	100
Table 41. Nearest neighbor percent composition for Well 3-Canadian location.....	100
Table 42. Nearest neighbor percent composition for Well 4-Canadian location.....	100

	Page
Table 43. Number of woody plants per acre at the Canadian location. ....	101
Table 44. Ground cover percent composition for Well 1-Colorado location.....	101
Table 45. Ground cover percent composition for Well 2-Colorado location.....	101
Table 46. Ground cover percent composition for Well 3-Colorado location.....	102
Table 47. Nearest neighbor percent composition for Well 1-Colorado location. ....	102
Table 48. Nearest neighbor percent composition for Well 2-Colorado location. ....	102
Table 49. Nearest neighbor percent composition for Well 3-Colorado location. ....	103
Table 50. Number of woody plants per acre at the Colorado location.....	103
Table 51. Ground cover percent composition for Site A-Pecos location.....	103
Table 52. Nearest neighbor percent composition for Site A-Pecos location. ....	104
Table 53. Ground cover percent composition for Site B-Pecos location. ....	104
Table 54. Nearest neighbor percent composition for Site B-Pecos location.....	104



## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Fig. 1. Comparison of water use by saltcedar (mm/day) grown in drums located in different stand densities when local PET was 7 mm/day. Adapted from (Davenport et al. 1982).....	12
Fig. 2. Diurnal fluctuations of groundwater table with inflection points (Tromble 1977). At point (A) the inflow and outflow are about the same, at point (B) recharge and transpiration are at a minimum, at point (C) inflow is greater than outflow and at point (D) outflow is greater than inflow.....	14
Fig. 3. River well installed at Canadian location. ....	21
Fig. 4. Soil sample collection at Colorado location, Well 1.....	22
Fig. 5. Example raw data from Canadian Well 4 for June 18-23, 2001 showing erratic readings, indicated by ↑, believed due to logger error (A). The "smoothed" data removed the extreme values (B) by using a 3-hour running average. ....	26
Fig. 6. Example of the beginning and ending of daily diurnal fluctuations in water level that were used to determine the length of the growing season at each location. (A) shows daily diurnal fluctuations began on 4/21/01 for Well 2 at the Colorado location and (B) stopped on 10/5/00 for Well 2 at the Colorado location. ....	32
Fig. 7. Colorado location showing well location and herbicide treated area (shaded).....	34
Fig. 8. Herbicide was applied to Well 1 at the Colorado location on August 21, 2000. A 49 % mortality of saltcedar was observed in September 2001.....	35
Fig. 9. Example of a "normal" daily diurnal fluctuation. The water table increases or decreases with a discharge and a recovery each day. ....	37
Fig. 10. Example of a fluctuation pattern where there was discharge during the daytime but no recharge at night. ....	37
Fig. 11. Example of a fluctuation pattern where recharge exceeded transpiration throughout one or more days (↑).....	38

	Page
Fig. 12. Example of a fluctuation pattern where the water table level increased over one or more days. ....	38
Fig. 13. Example of variables used in water use calculations from Well 2 at the Colorado location (June 4, 2001) Measurements in meters for 6/4/01 are as follows: $H_1= 1.040$ m, $H_2= 1.0338$ m, $L_1= 1.0168$ m, $S= 0.0062$ m, $R_1= 0.0016$ (m/hr), and $R_2= 0.0012$ (m/hr). ....	39
Fig. 14. Winter 1996 Digital Orthoquarter Quad of Canadian location showing the river and the monitoring wells. ....	54
Fig. 15. Well 2 at Canadian location showing open area around well and woody vegetation in background (slough) where Wells 3 and 4 were located. ....	59
Fig. 16. Vegetation transect at Well 2 at the Canadian location. Note the open grassland around this area. ....	59
Fig. 17. Vegetation transect at Well 3 at the Canadian location. Note the dense herbaceous understory and the dense woody canopy cover. ....	60
Fig. 18. Fall 1996 Digital Orthoquarter Quad of Colorado location showing well locations. ....	61
Fig. 19. Well 2 at the Colorado location depicting drift effect on foliage (approximately 9.0% of the stems were dead). ....	64
Fig. 20. Fall 1996 Digital Orthoquarter Quad of Pecos location Sites A and B in Loving County, Texas near Mentone. ....	65
Fig. 21. Fall 1996 Digital Orthoquarter Quad of Pecos location Site A showing well locations. ....	65
Fig. 22. Fall 1996 Digital Orthoquarter Quad of Pecos location Site B showing well locations. ....	66
Fig. 23. 2001 Growing season groundwater levels at the Canadian location. ....	74
Fig. 24. 2000 growing season groundwater levels at the Colorado location. ....	75
Fig. 25. 2001 growing season water levels at the Colorado location. ....	76
Fig. 26. 2001 Growing season water levels Pecos location Site A. ....	77

	Page
Fig. 27. 2001 Growing season water levels for Pecos location Site B.....	78
Fig. 28. Regression predicted water levels (based on 5/1 - 10/5, 2000 data) and actual water levels for Well 1 Colorado location (5/1 - 10/5, 2001).....	87
Fig. 29. Estimated daily water use by the Draw Down Recharge Method on actual and predicted data for Well 1 at the Colorado location (5/1 -10/5, 2001). .....	88